

UCCS CAMPUS POLICY

Policy Title: Post-tenure Review

Policy Number: 200-016 Policy Functional Area: ACADEMIC

Effective: June 27, 2017 Revision

Approved by: Venkat Reddy, Chancellor

Responsible Vice Chancellor: Executive Vice Chancellor of Academic Affairs (EVCAA)

Office of Primary Responsibility: EVCAA

Policy Primary Contact: EVCAA, 719-255-3700

Supersedes: N/A

Last Reviewed/Updated: March 3, 2008

Applies to: Tenured faculty members

Reason for Policy: The purpose of this policy is to develop procedures for appropriate peer evaluation during post-tenure review (PTR), for appeals of the PTR evaluation, and for granting PTR *development awards*.

I. INTRODUCTION

At the University of Colorado Colorado Springs ("University"), tenure is granted with the expectation of continued professional growth and ongoing productivity in teaching (or librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership and service (and, where indicated in primary unit criteria, other activities relevant to the specific unit such as professional practice). Thus, every tenured faculty member has a duty to maintain professional competence. The purposes of post-tenure review (PTR) are: (1) to facilitate continued faculty development, consistent with the academic and resource needs and goals of the University and (2) to ensure professional accountability by a regular, comprehensive evaluation of every tenured faculty member's performance.

Any PTR procedures developed at the college or department level must conform to this policy and any other system or campus policies on PTR.

II. POLICY STATEMENT

In accordance with Regent Policy 5.C.2 and APS 1022, tenured faculty shall undergo a post-tenure review (PTR) as a summative review every five years (to include sabbatical and leaves). As part of this process, the University shall have procedures for appropriate peer evaluation during PTR and for appeals of the PTR evaluation. In addition, the primary units shall have written guidelines that conform to campus procedures and APS 1022.

This policy describes University specific procedures for faculty undergoing PTR in order to make PTR a meaningful process for the faculty and to conform with APS 1022.

A. Procedures

1. General

- a. **Timelines.** The initial post-tenure review process occurs five years after the faculty member is granted tenure and recurs at five-year intervals unless interrupted by promotion review or leave. Promotion serves to re-start the PTR clock. Leave extends the PTR clock in increments of one year.
- b. PTR Committee. PTR will be conducted by appropriate faculty peers within the campus, either the primary unit faculty or the faculty of the appropriate college personnel review committee. Each college will develop a written policy detailing how the committee will be constituted. It is recommended that associate and full professors be evaluated by faculty of the same or higher rank. This committee will be referred to below as "the PTR committee." A faculty member may not serve on a PTR committee in the same year for any member of that faculty member's PTR committee. Committee members may consist of members outside the department, if needed, due to departmental composition.
- 2. Consistent with college and primary unit policy, the PTR portfolio may include:
 - a. Primary Unit Criteria (approved by the Provost)- required
 - b. An updated Current Vita required
 - c. Executive Summary/Self-Evaluation (based on past five years work in a clear and concise manner; this is not your annual evaluation). Describe your activities over the five-year period in teaching (or librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership and service. This will include evidence of university, community and/or professional leadership/service; and, If applicable, evidence of professional practice.) required
 - d. FCQ Summary Sheets (past five years)- required
 - e. Previous Professional Plan (developed during first year of tenure or at last post tenure review). Please include all versions as appropriate.
 - f. Professional Plan (for next five years) signed by faculty member, your departmental chair/lead, and the Dean. The new Professional Plan will describe the predicted productivity in teaching, research and service over the next five-year period as percent effort. More information regarding the Professional Plan is provided in Appendix B of APS 1022.
 - g. Annual Performance Ratings sheets (APR) (past five years)- required
 - h. Annual Faculty Activity Report (Digital Measures) (past five years)- required
 - i. Post-Sabbatical Report (if applicable within the review period))- required
 - j. Additional materials recommended by the college may include peer reviews of teaching or librarianship (if applicable), and, if desired, other types of teaching evaluation data. Multiple means of evaluating teaching (or librarianship) are expected beyond student evaluations in this process. Copies of recent publications since the last review, and evidence of research and/or creative work and any related funding. This should include specific examples of work product such as conference proceedings, conference abstracts, grant proposals written, and other examples of evidence that the committee can point to in their evaluation.
- 3. The PTR committee will consider differentiated workloads of faculty in post-tenure reviews in

their rating of the faculty member's work.

- 4. Professional Plans (If required by college).
 - a. Faculty members may develop a professional plan within twelve months of the award of tenure, in accordance with APS 1022 Standards, Processes and Procedures for Comprehensive Review, Tenure, Post-Tenure Review and Promotion Appendix B, and must develop a new professional plan as a part of each post-tenure review, based on college policy. The professional plan should be reviewed and, if needed, updated each year during the annual performance review process. The professional plan may be updated at any time to accommodate a variety of situations such as the receipt of grant awards, acceptance of fellowships, or changes in the focus of research, creative work, or teaching.
 - b. Defined Faculty Responsibilities are allocated to the areas of teaching (or librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership and service (and, where indicated in primary unit criteria, other activities relevant to the specific unit such as professional practice) as established within the primary unit, either as a standard distribution of responsibilities or through an approved faculty responsibility statement (FRS) in the case of a differentiated workload. The FRS should be included with the Professional Plan if an FRS is used by a college or unit separately from the Professional Plan.
 - c. The primary purpose of the professional plan is to promote faculty development. The professional plan should give faculty members an opportunity to contemplate and communicate their plans for continuing or enhancing their professional contributions to their Defined Faculty Responsibilities. The professional plan should describe projections for professional productivity over a five-year period. The following components should be considered in developing the professional plan:
 - i. The professional plan should generally not exceed two pages in length. The professional plan should provide a general description of planned activities in the faculty member's Defined Faculty Responsibilities which should include teaching (or librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership and service (and, where indicated in primary unit criteria, other activities relevant to the specific unit such as professional practice). In addition to simple accountability, the professional plan could be utilized as a pathway for the faculty's own career success. For example, in the professional plan, the faculty could explore the resources that they would need to be successful in teaching (or librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership and service (and, where indicated in primary unit criteria, other activities relevant to the specific unit such as professional practice) over the next five years. A model template for the professional plan is attached as a Form.
 - ii. The professional plan should be qualitative rather than quantitative in nature. The professional plan should provide an overview of the likely areas of professional accomplishments that the faculty member intends to accomplish over the next five years. The document should not be used to set, for example, a specific number of publications projected for that period, but should be clear on what efforts the faculty plans to make in each area. While qualitative, there should be some goals that the faculty have in each area of teaching (or librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership and service (and, where indicated in primary unit criteria, other activities relevant to the specific unit such as professional practice). The professional plan should be written based on a "good faith" effort on the part of the faculty member to contribute professionally and for the University to adequately supportthat professional contribution. The professional plan shall not require a faculty member to exceed the expectations applied at the time tenure was granted.
- 5. Annual Performance reviews are often performed using different criteria than departmental

- RPT and will be used to help the committee understand the work of the faculty over the timeperiod, However, they will not be used as a primary method to make a decision on the posttenure work as a whole for the candidate. These should be used along with the additional materials to understand the work of the faculty over the review period.
- 6. The PTR evaluation committee will prepare a written report that summarizes the academic achievements of the faculty member, rates the faculty member's academic accomplishments, describes the major accomplishments, and explains the rationale of the committee rating for this five-year period. Specifically, the committee must rate the faculty member's performance in each of the areas of teaching (or librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership and service (and, where indicated in primary unit criteria, other activities relevant to the specific unit such as professional practice) as either "outstanding," "exceeding expectations," "meeting expectations," "below expectations" or "failing to meet expectations."
- 7. The Chair of the PTR committee shall submit the committee's written report to the Department Chair or Leader, who will forward it to the Dean and Provost. The Department Chair/Leader, Dean or Provost may elect to attach a letter of concurrence or non-concurrence. The dean will provide a summary report and copies of the individual reports to the Provost on the results of all the post-tenure reviews in the school/college. A copy of the final PTR report will be given to the faculty member and a copy placed in the faculty member's departmental (or school/college/library) personnel file at the end of the review process.
 - a. If the evaluations of the PTR committee and Dean are not in agreement, and the Dean writes a letter of non-concurrence, there will be discussion and further consideration by each in order to attain agreement.
 - b. If this additional step does not result in agreement among these groups, the Vice Chancellor's Review Committee (VCRC) will review the PTR committee's, Chair's or leader (if any), and the Dean's letter of non-concurrence, along with a report of the discussion and further consideration by each in order to obtain agreement. The recommendation of the VCRC will then be submitted to the Provost who will make the final decision on the matter.
- 8. If a faculty member receives a PTR summary rating of "below expectations" or "fails to meet expectations," in any of the evaluated areas of teaching (or librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership and service (and, where indicated in primary unit criteria, other activities relevant to the specific unit such as professional practice), the faculty member must agree to a Performance Improvement Agreement (PIA). If the goals of the PIA are not met, an extensive review will be conducted, and a development plan will be written using the PIA process detailed in APS 5008.
- 9. Faculty must use the established college, campus and university appeal/grievance procedures when faculty believe an appeal/grievance is warranted.
 - a. For the post-tenure review, in the areas of teaching (or librarianship), and scholarly/creative work (and, where indicated in primary unit criteria, other activities relevant to the specific unit such as professional practice) the reviewer(s) may only comment on the adequacy, feasibility, or wisdom of the plan, but do not formally approve or disapprove it. In the area of leadership/service, the reviewer(s) will generally comment only on the adequacy, feasibility, or wisdom of the plan unless, in rare cases, the primary unit determines that a particular kind of service is vital to the mission of the primary unit.
 - b. Professional plans should be reviewed and revised annually by faculty in the annual review process.
 - c. Yearly or post-tenure evaluations of the plan should be based on a review of the quality of the work and a determination of whether appropriate efforts were made in targeted areas. Projections made in the professional plan, when compared to the faculty member's progress and achievements, should be considered as one of many possible

bases for evaluating professional performance. The professional plan should not be viewed as the literal fulfillment of a set of nonnegotiable demands or rigid expectations, quantitative or otherwise.

III. KEY WORDS

Differentiated workload - A faculty appointment reflects responsibilities in one or more of the areas of teaching, scholarly/creative work, and leadership and service, and where relevant, other activities relative to their specific units (e.g., clinical activity, librarianship). A faculty member's annual workload has two components: (1) a percentage weighting across areas of assigned responsibility that is used in the annual evaluation process; and (2) the expected activities associated with the weighting. Together, these two components represent a differentiated workload. While the phrase "differentiated workload" is used for historical purposes, it should be understood that the percent weighting is used for merit evaluation, while the expected activities for different weightings are unit specific. The allocation of weightings is not a specific allocation of faculty member's time.

Post-tenure Review (PTR)- Is a review of a tenured faculty member's performance record undertaken every five years. This regular review is undertaken by the department/primary unit and it determines whether the faculty member is meeting the professional standards outlined by the department/primary unit's policy on written standards and criteria.

IV. RELATED POLICIES, PROCEDURES, FORMS, GUIDELINES, AND OTHER RESOURCES

- A. Administrative Policy Statements (APS) and Other Policies
 - 1. APS 1006 Differentiated Annual Workloads for Faculty
 - 2. APS 1022 Standards, Processes and Procedures for Reappointment, Tenure, Promotion, and Post-Tenure Review
 - 3. APS 5008 Faculty Performance Evaluation
 - 4. Regent Policy 5.D Reappointment (to a tenure-track position), Tenure, and Promotion
- B. Procedures
- C. Forms
 - 1. Professional Plan Template (see below)
- D. Guidelines
- E. Other Resources (i.e. training, secondary contact information)
- F. Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs
- V. HISTORY

Initial policy approval March 3, 2008

University of Colorado Colorado Springs

Faculty Professional Plan

	(A public document under the	ne Open Records Act)	
	For the period	to	
Faculty Name:	Department:		_
Rank:	Percent appointment: _		_
Professional Plan is described research/creative work, the needs of the primary approve any specific wo	f the Professional Plan are to encour esigned to communicate the defir professional practice (if applicable) a y unit. The director or chair of the pr rkload assignments defined by the p workloads), and may comment on th	ned faculty responsilend leadership/service imary unit (or approplan (in accordance with the control of the con	bilities in the areas of teaching, e goals and to relate those goals to riate primary unit committee) must th school or college procedures for
Workload Weighting Teaching/Lib	orarianship Research/Creative Work	Leadership/Service	Professional Practice
Percent:	anship. Describe in general terms yo	ur plan for contributing	to your unit's teaching and advising
•	e years. Address the areas of classro		,

School or College Official	 Date
acknowledge receipt of the above Professional Plan and agree that pproved.	at the stated workload weighting above has been
Authorized Primary Unit signature (chair, director, or dean)	Date
have reviewed the above Professional Plan and discussed its cor	ntent with the author.
Faculty Signature	Date
I submit the above information as my Professional Plan. I unders necessarily constitute the standards and criteria against which and/or promotion and/or tenure.	•
Leadership/Service. Describe in general your plan for contribut service mission over the next five years. Please address the natur University, as well as your service external to the University.	•
Professional Practice (if applicable) Describe your plans in the atthey contribute to your unit's, college or school's, and campus' mis	·